*Previous references.*
Rubkavi no
4748 dt 18-8-03 1908.
misc 165-92 (elucidation)
*Later references.*
5[illegible] 09
609--10.

File No. 477 03
Serial Nos. 164

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

*Serial Nos.* 164

**Part B.** for or September 1909

SUBJECT.

Encroachment on the hak of the Samadhi pariharike post in the name Dikantesvara Swami temple at Sanquelim.

No 1. Appeal petn no 1016 from Sanjivadeth of Sanquelim
Appeals against the order of the Dy Collr dtd re the Samadhi Pariharike post.
No 2. To the Dy Collr dtd
No 1387 dt 26-3-09
Forwards the above for repo
To Sanjivadeth Petr no 1382 dt 26-3-09
Indicates the

G. R. S. 58

No 3 From the [illegible]
No 503 dt 1.7.09
Submits report on the
petn of Manjunadiah
& 1.

No 4 To The Dy Coms sleepone Dist
No 803 dt 14.9.09
Forwards copy of the
decision passed on the
Mak of the Samudhi
Paraphanike of the
temple.

To. Manjunadiah (Appdt)
No 804 dt 14.9.09
Intimates the above
decision

To. Venkanniah (Respt)
No 805 & 806 dt 14.9.09
Forwards copy of the
above.

G.R.S.11 ] 190 9.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( Muzhnai)

NOTES.

File No. 477-08

FILE HEADING.

Samidhi Pancharike of Sri Sankantaswara Swamy temple at Nanjanqud.

From

Nanjundiah of Nanjanqud.

| No. | Dated | Received |
|---|---|---|
| Diary No. 1016 | Enclos. 3. Spare Copies | Serial No. 1 |

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Appeals against the Dy. Commissioner's order re The Samidhi Paricharike referred To above.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Under Secretary's order:-

" I suppose the Appeal is maintainable. get records with report from the Dy. Comm.

SRS

Submitted with 2 ofs

18/3/09

18/3/09

Notes—First sheet

GPB—30,000—3-4 07

R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 477 of 190 9

Serial No.

To, The Dy. Commr, Mysore Distt

O. M. no. 1381 Dy 26.3.09.

Forwards Sl 1 for report.

From, The Same Officer,

Letter no. 503 Dy 1.7.09.

Submits Report together with the connected records.

The facts of the case are clearly given in the Dy. Commr's. report under reference.

Flag Y)

From the Vernacular records, it is observed as follows:-

Chendrasekariah's son Nanjundiah (Appellant before Govt) and Nanjundiah's 3 sons Subbaraya, Giriamma and Putta Subbiah were joint baramanddars (vide Genealogical Tree received with the Dy. Commr's. letter), Nanjundiah (Appellant before Govt) enjoying the office for 6 months, and the other 3 brothers enjoying it at 2 months each. Subbaraya having died heirless, his 2 months' share was added on to each of his 2 brothers so that Giriamma and Putta Subbiah were enjoying the office for 3 months each.

× Flag Y)

Sanjeevamma, 2nd daughter of Giriamma and her husband, Rangappa, Shanbhogue of Anchale, Yelandur Taluk, petitioned

Flag X)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—13-5-08

Serial No.

the Local authorities stating that at the request of Giriamma, the post of (3 months' share) of Sannidhi Pavicharike had been transferred to the name of Lingappa (Giriamma's 1st son-in-law) and that the latter with his wife and children died of Plague and praying that the post might be conferred on their son Gundappa (a boy of 6 years of age) who was Dowhitra of Giriamma.

Hag W) Thereupon Nanjundiah (petitioner) petitioned stating that he was the direct descendant in the male line and as such, the post held by Giriamma (since dead) as also that of the late Putta Subbiah whose 2 daughters with the exception of 2nd son-in-law Venkamma, were also dead, might be made out in his name.

The Preliminary enquiries were made, by the Temple authorities and the records

Hag V) were submitted to the Amildar for orders

Hag U) On the 11th May 1905, Venkamma petitioned the DK. office stating that his wife Venkamma died some 3 months back, that he has performed the obsequies of his father-in-law Putta Subbiah and his mother-in-law Savitramma, that he was a Dowhitra in the family being the son of ~~Savitramma~~ Petitioners uncle Nanjundiah's daughter

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—13-5-08

Serial No.

Sanitramma and that he has succeeded to the properties of Putta Subbiah. He prays that the post held by his father-in-law, and after him, his mother-in-law Sanitramma and after her, his wife, Venkamma may be given to him.

The matter came up for enquiry before the Amildar, who after examining the contending parties, submitted his detailed report on the 31st December 1906.

Heq 7)

The Amildar stated that Giriamma and Puttasubbiah were members of a divided family and consequently their rights had been defined, that Venkamma-iah was a Dowhitra in the family and that under orders from this Deptt. the post held by Sanitramma was transferred to his wife's name. He recommended that the haraward in the name of Giriamma might be made out in the name of his grand-son Gundappa, Venkanniah being appointed as the minor's Gumastha and that of the deceased Venkamma might be made out in the name of her husband Venkanniah.

Rubkari no. 474 of 18.8.03 herewith submitted.

The then Sub Dist. officer Mr. Vidyanatha Iyer requested the Dy

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—13-5-08

Serial No.

To send him Standard books on Hindu Law so that he might look into them and give his opinion on the claims of several parties.

Fleas.)

The Dy. Commr stated that no Standard works were available in his office and asked the Asstt Commr to get them from the munsiffs' court at Nanjangud or from any of the Lawyers at the place.

Fleas.)

The Sub. Divl officer thereupon reported that good books were not forthcoming, that as Nanjundiah was a member of the divided family, he could not do much as claim the properties held by Giriamma and Putta Subbiah and added that he concurs in the Amildars views the recommendation of the Sub Divl Offr was upheld by the Dy. Commr and orders were issued accordingly.

Fleas.

Against these orders, Nanjundiah has appealed to Govt.

AV 3.8.09

Submitted for orders

Under Secretary's note

by 3/8/09 The Dy Com's report clearly explains the facts of the case which are not disputed by petr. The only point that the Commissioner should

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

directed to file a regular suit.

The appeal petition discloses two facts not referred to in the D.C.'s letter. They are: —

(1). That Srinivasa divided his property between his two daughters — giving the Jewellery &c. to his first daughter Venkamma and land to his second daughter Savitramma. Pet. brought this suit for Savitramma and has been permitted by the D.C. to take it on behalf of Savitramma.

(2). That Pattabhiramiah Venkamma who has been appointed guardian of Pattabhiramiah on the ground of being his father's brother-in-law has married another wife.

Both facts being admitted there is no necessity for a regular suit. The only question is whether Pet. has a better title than the counter petitioner to the shares of Srinivasa and Venkatachalapathi which the latter had forfeited on his two daughters and son-in-law.

The Panchayatdar office is looked upon as hereditary. There can be no question about that.

Submitted for favourable consideration

Alwar Venkatachariar

56 5/8/1908

P.S. If it is necessary that the parties should be heard the case will be posted to the 14th inst.

15/8/1908

1552

(Mr. I seems right & II seems wrong)



GPB—50.000—13-5-08

Serial No.

Post for hearing & give notice to both parties.

6/8/9

Pet-L-25-2-9

25/8/1909

Mr.

Aff received in Court

AV

7.8.9 Issue

25/8/1909

6/8/09

Pet Appt by Mr Krishna —

Resp by Mr C Ka R —

The 3rd share of Gariyamma should go to Lingappa under a will or to Gundappa (d's son) as the nearer of heir. The 3rd share of Puttasubbayya cannot be taken by Venkatanarayya the sole Lr in law. The Petr is the nearest heir & so it will be given to him. An order will be passed accg to this prayer —

Photographed

25/8/1909

25/8/09

15

Serial No.

Draft Rubbd — Remi
by 4/9/09

1 Submitted with a Remarks Off on Secretariat
by 4/9/09

Draft submitted to Secretariat.

Draft approved by Secretary.
by 7/9/09

Draft Issue
by 18/9/1909

4 To - The Dy Coll. Chingleput
No 803 dy 14.9.1909
Forwards copy of the decision on the hak of the Sannidhi Parcharika post in the Sri Srikanteswara Swami temple at Thiruvallikeni
To - Manjunadha (Petr)
No 804 dy 14.9.1909
Informing that orders have been passed that he has no right to the hak of Ginigana and accepts his claim to the right of Pathabhiah &c
To. Venkamiah (Counter Petr)
No 14 9.1909
Forwards copy of the above

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—18-5-08

D1016

1908-1909 Mang F 477-08.

నేను కొంపల్లి లు అయితే ఆ

మా ఆయన కె.ఎం.ఆర్ కంపెనీ కోసం కష్టపడి ఉన్నారు

ఆ

ఆ ఉదయం నేనన్నట్లుగానే ఆఫీసుకి వెళ్ళి ఆ రోజు గడిపాం కానీ మనసంతా ఏదో తెలియని అలజడిలో ఉంది. ఆ రోజు సాయంత్రం ఆఫీసు నుండి ఇంటికి వచ్చేసరికి మా ఇంటి ముందు ఒక పోలీసు జీపు ఆగి ఉంది. ఆ జీపు చూడగానే నా గుండె ఆగినంత పనయింది. ఏదో జరగరానిది జరిగిందని నా మనసు చెప్పింది. ఆ జీపు చూసి ఇంట్లోకి వెళ్ళాలా వద్దా అని ఒక్క క్షణం ఆలోచించాను. ఆ తర్వాత ధైర్యం తెచ్చుకొని ఇంట్లోకి వెళ్ళాను. ఇంట్లో ఎవరూ లేరు. ఇంటి ముందు ఉన్న పోలీసు జీపు కూడా అక్కడ లేదు. ఇంట్లో ఎవరూ లేరు అని తెలిసిన తర్వాత నా మనసు కుదుటపడింది. ఆ తర్వాత ఏమి జరిగిందో తెలుసుకోవడానికి ఎదురింటి వాళ్ళని అడిగాను. వాళ్ళు చెప్పిన విషయం విని నా గుండె ఆగినంత పనయింది.

ఎదురింటి వాళ్ళు చెప్పిన ప్రకారం మా ఆయన ఆఫీసుకి వెళ్ళిన తర్వాత ఆయన ఆఫీసులో పనిచేసే ఒక వ్యక్తి ఇంటికి వచ్చి ఆయన ఆఫీసులో ఏదో పెద్ద గొడవ జరిగిందని, ఆయన్ని పోలీసులు తీసుకెళ్ళారని చెప్పాడట. ఆ విషయం విన్న వెంటనే ఎదురింటి వాళ్ళు మా ఆయన ఆఫీసుకి వెళ్ళి ఆయన్ని కలిసి ఇంటికి తీసుకొచ్చారట. ఆ తర్వాత ఆయన ఆఫీసులో ఏమి జరిగిందో ఆయన్ని అడిగి తెలుసుకున్నారట. ఆ విషయం నాకు చెప్పడానికి వాళ్ళు ఇంటికి వచ్చారట. ఆ విషయం విన్న తర్వాత నా మనసు కుదుటపడింది. ఆ తర్వాత ఆయన ఆఫీసులో ఏమి జరిగిందో ఆయన్ని అడిగి తెలుసుకున్నాను. ఆ విషయం నాకు చెప్పడానికి ఆయన ఆఫీసులో పనిచేసే వ్యక్తి ఇంటికి వచ్చాడ

3/3/09

24/3

Muz.4]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 1381 B 26/3/09
Muz 477-08-2 9

The accompanying Appeal Petition

No. D 1016 dated 3-3-09 received at this office from Nanjundiah of Nanjangud rega 4 The Sannidhi Sarishta of Sri Srikanteswara Swamy temple at the place, —→

is forwarded, together with its 3 enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District for submission of the entire records connected with the case together with a detailed report thereon.

By Order,

19/3/09

Secretary,
Muzrai Department

BANGALORE,

1382 1909 26/3 08-20

GPB—300—20-3-07

Note to the petr

No. 1382/Jele.mg/477-08-2 dt. 26-3-09

To

The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department,
Secretariat,
Chennai-600 009.

Sir,

Sub: Revenue Administration - Land Acquisition - Land for Kalpakkam Project - Compensation - Enhancement - Reference to Court - Request for - Regarding.

Ref: 1. Lr. No. D.1016/Land/2008-1, dated 19.2.2009 from the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Chennai-9.
2. Lr. No. 1382/Jele.mg/477-08-2, dated 26.3.2009 from the District Collector, Chengalpattu.

I have the honour to state that in the reference 1st cited, the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Chennai-9 has requested me to send a proposal to refer the case to the Court for enhancement of compensation in respect of the lands acquired for the Kalpakkam Project in Thirukazhukundram Taluk, Chengalpattu District.

In the reference 2nd cited, I have sent a proposal to refer the case to the Court for enhancement of compensation in respect of the lands acquired for the Kalpakkam Project in Thirukazhukundram Taluk, Chengalpattu District.

Yours faithfully,

District Collector

A 20

1908-09

From Memo 477-08-3
M. Kantaraj Urs Esq., B.A.
Dy. Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To
C. S. Balasundramaiyer Esq., B.A
Secy. to the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Gen. & Rev. Depts.
in Inam Branch

Dated 29 June 09.

Despatched 1-7-09

Received

General No. 503
No.

Enclosure No. 1 file

A.V. 3/7/09

**Subject**

Submits report on the petition of Ranjundiah re Samadhi Parichari-ke post in the Sri Sri-Kanteswara Swami temple at Ranjangud.

6

No. 503
Enc. No. 1 file

OFFICE OF THE Deputy Commr

MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated Mysore Camp 29th June 1909.
1.7.09

To

C.S Balasundram Iyer Esq., B.A

SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.
Inamdari Branch.

SIR,

In reply to your official memorandum No. 1381 / Inam 477-08-2 dated 26th March 1909 forwarding for report Petition (herewith returned) from Ramjamma regarding the Samadhi Panchamike of Sri Srikanteshwara Swamy temple at Nanjangud, I have the honour to state as follows:-

2. The original Inamdar of the Swamy Samadhi Panchamike fund in the Sri Srikanteshwara Swamy temple at Nanjangud was one Giriyamma. He had two sons named Chikka Giriyanna and Giriyanna. These two persons were the Inamdars and held the office by turns of one year each. Regarding the Inam granted to Giriyamma

Giriamma, one Kettamma and Srikantiah has been advancing his claims and the matter is now under enquiry and forms the subject matter of a separate correspondence. (Vide correspondence ending with your do. letter no. 920 dated 6-2-1909). Surveys 17-06

3 The offices referred to by the petitioner Ranjundia refer to the one year's tenure of Chikkamma. This Chikkamma had a son named Putta Sanbaiah whose sons were (1) Ranjundia (2) Chandaiah or Chandrasekharia and (3) Chikkamma.

4. Ranjundia (NO 1) had 3 sons viz (i) Subraya (ii) Giriamma and (iii) Putta Sanbaiah.

5 The petitioner Ranjundia is the son of Chandayya or Chandrasekharia (NO 2).

6 Ranjundia (petitioner) and Subraya, Giriamma and Putta Sanbaiah were joint in enjoyment (Accepted) Ranjundaiah enjoying the office for

for 6 months and the other 3 brothers (Subaraya, Giriamma and Putta Subbaiya) enjoying it at 2 months each.

7. Subaraya died and his 2 months share was divided between the two other brothers Giriamma and Putta Subbaiah who enjoyed the office for 3 months each.

8. Thus Giriamma came to drive his karanam and was transferred to his son-in-law (daughter's husband) Lingappa's name. Then Lingappa and his wife Venkamma died and the office became vacant. This is one of the karanams which the petitioner requests to be made out in his name.

9. The 2 months karanam which stood in the name of Putta Subbaiah was transferred to his wife Savitramma on the death of Putta Subbaiah and at the request of Savitramma the then Revenue Divisional Officer in his Kaifiyat No. 18 dated 14-8-1903 directed that the karanam should be transferred to

Giriamma

to the name of Venkamma (daughter of Putta Subbayya and Savitramma). Venkamma also died and the post became vacant. This is another havaward which the petitioner requested to be made out in his name.

10. Petitioner Ranjit made request that the havaward of the two aforesaid posts should be made out in his name as he was the direct descendant on the male side while Gundappa (Giriamma's 2nd daughter's son) and Venkamma (son-in-law of Putta Subbaiah) requested that the havaward should be made in their names as being the grandson and proper heir of Giriamma and Putta Subbaiah.

11. The records connected with the case were called for and after perusal there of I ordered on 23.1.09 that the havaward which stood in the name of Giriamma should be made out in the name of Gundappa (grandson of Giriamma) Venkamma being

(over)

10 appointed as the minor's guar-
and the bar award of the de-
ceased Venkamma should be
made out in the name of her
husband Venkanniah.

12. The present appeal by govern-
-ment by ranjandiah is
against the above order -

13. A genealogical tree showing
the relationship and the perma-
-nent records connected with
the case dealing with Judgment
No 231/956 dated 3-5-1909 are here-
with sent under letter for reference.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant

S/ [illegible]
for Chief Secretary to
Government

1 x 1




N. B. I & II are offices in question

(G.)

12

# Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

---

Appeal No. of

---

Appellant. Vs. Respondents

Nanjundiah

1 Venkanniah

2- Gundappa minor
thro his mother and guardian
Savitramma

SUBJECT.

Appealing against the order of the Dy Commr, Mysore dis$^{t}$, recognizing the hak of each of the Respts. to 3 months' share of Sannidhi Panchavike of the Sri Srikanteswaraswamy temple at Nanjungud.

Facts of the case

The relationship of the Aplt and the Respts. to the original haksar is as shown below:—



Nanjundiah, appellant, and his cousins and Putta Subbiah (B) were joint haraawardars of the Sannidhi Parichanike post, Nanjundiah enjoying the office for 6 months and the other two brothers enjoying it for 3 months each. Giriauna transferred his 3 months' share to his son-in-law Lingappa under a will, and the latter and his wife having died, the office has been conferred on Giriauna's 2d minor son of daughter Savitraumma, named ~~minor son of~~ Gundappa II Respt before Govt) Puttasubbiah also having died, his haraawar was transferred to his wife Savitraumma and at her request it was made over in the name of her daughter Venkaumma. Venkaumma having died, the office has been conferred on Venkaumma's husband Venkauniah, I Respt. Nanjundiah has appealed to Govt claiming the offices held by Giriauna and Puttasubbiah.

## Decision

The contending parties were heard before Govt

As regards Giriauna's 3 months' share whether the last male holder be Giriauna or Lingappa, the Aplt has no right as he is not an heir of Lingappa and as Giriauna's daughter's son is a nearer heir to ~~him~~ Srivan than Aplt. The Aplt's prayer so far as it relates to Giriauna's 3 months' share is rejected.

After the death of Puttasubbayya's daughter, the Aplt ~~As the nearest heir of Putta Subbiah, the Aplt~~ being the nearest agnate becomes his heir. Nanjundiah ~~and not the Respt Venkauniah, son-in-law of Putta Subbiah, is entitled to the 3 months' share of Putta Subbiah's~~ The 1st Respt who is only his ~~son~~ daughter's husband, cannot have no right ~~so his~~ hereditary Govt therefore set aside that portion of the Dy. Commr's. order which directs that Putta Subbiah's 3 months share ~~ought~~ be given to ~~the~~ ~~son-in-law~~ latter Venkauniah. ~~The Aplt~~ Nanjundiah should

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

Submitted
the order may
be printed
MJ
7/9/09 Yes

This share of the office therefore 1/4
will be registered
in the applt's name.
~~be put in possession of the office~~
~~and the Warrant transferred to~~
~~his name.~~

No 803 encl by Bangalore Sept 09
M-7-477-08-4 Copy of the above is
forwarded to the Dy Commr,
Mysore Dist, together with the
Vernacular records received
with his letter no 503 Dy 18th July 1909

By order,
CRS A. de C.
Revenue Dept.
7/9/09
Jr Secretary

7/9/09

# 1909-10

15

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

*Dated 14th September 1909*

No. 803
Muz. 477-08-4

Passing orders on the hak of the Sannidhi pancharike post in the Sri Srikanteswaraswami temple at Nanjangud.

GPB—5 10 09—200

## BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

| Appellant | *Vs.* | Defendants |
|---|---|---|
| Nanjundaiya | | 1. Venkannaiya<br>2. Gundappa, minor, through his mother and guardian Savitramma. |

*Subject.*

Appealing against the order of the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, recognizing the hak of each of the respondents to three months' share of Sannidhi paricharike of the Sri Srikanteswaraswami temple at Nanjangud.

*Facts of the case.*

The relationship of the appellant and the respondents to the original hakdar is as shown below :—




Nanjundaiya, appellant, and his cousins Giriyanna (A) and Puttasubbaiya (B) were joint barawarddars of the Sannidhi paricharike post, Nanjundaiya enjoying the office for six months and the other two brothers enjoying it for three months each. Giriyanna transferred his three months' share to his son-in-law Lingappa under a will ; and the latter and his wife having died, the office has been conferred on the minor son of Giriyanna's second daughter Savitramma, named Gundappa (2nd respondent before Government). Puttasubbaiya also having died, his baraward was transferred to his wife Savitramma, and at her request it was made out in the name of her daughter Venkamma. Venkamma having died, the office has been conferred on Venkamma's husband Venkannaiya, 1st respondent. Nanjundaiya has appealed to Government claiming the offices held by Giriyanna and Puttasubbaiya.

*Decision.*

The contending parties were heard before Government.

2. As regards Giriyanna's three months' share, whether the last male holder be Giriyanna or Lingappa, the appellant has no right as he is not an heir of Lingappa and as Giriyanna's daughter's son is a nearer heir to Giriyanna than appellant. The appellant's prayer so far as it relates to Giriyanna's three months' share is rejected.

3. After the death of Puttasubbaiya's daughter, the appellant Nanjundaiya, being the nearest agnate, becomes his heir. The 1st respondent, who is only his daughter's husband, can have no right of inheritance. Government therefore set

*Muzrai, dated 14th September 1909.*

aside that portion of the Deputy Commissioner's order which directs that Puttasubbaiya's three months' share be given to the latter. This share of the office will, therefore, be registered in the appellant's name.

---

No. 803—Muz. 477-08-4, dated Bangalore, 14th September 1909.

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, together with the vernacular records received with his letter No. 503, dated 1st July 1909.

K. S. CHANDRASEKHARA AIYAR,
*Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.*

End—c v

804 dt 14-9-04

M-7.477-08-4

By order,
Sd/-
for Secretary.

By order,
Sd/-
for Secretary